

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/956,898	09/21/2001	Takahiro Matsumura	990377B	3458	
23850	7590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER		
	NG, KRATŽ, QUINTOS	CONTEE, JOY KIMBERLY			
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2686	0	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	۵	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comme	09/956,898		MATSUMURA, TAKAHIRO					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Joy K Contee		2686	·			
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication appea	ars on the cover sh	eet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of i - If the period for reply specified above is less tha - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(this communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply w ximum statutory period will I for reply will, by statute, ca months after the mailing da	(a). In no event, however, ithin the statutory minimur apply and will expire SIX ause the application to be	may a reply be timent of thirty (30) days (6) MONTHS from come ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 21 Sep	tember 2001.						
2a) This action is FINAL .								
	, _							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,6,9 and 12</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,6,9 and 12</u> is/are ro 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objecte	Claim(s) 3,6,9 and 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is obje					• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Non 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the Inte	e of: priority documents h priority documents h propies of the priority pernational Bureau (l	nave been receiven nave been receiven documents have PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application been receive).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Inte	rview Summary ((PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re		Pap	er No(s)/Mail Da		152)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7. 	1449 of PTO/SB/08)	6) Othe		atent Application (PTO	-132)			

Application/Control Number: 09/956,898

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 3,6,9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Heinonen et al ("Heinonen"), U.S. Patent No. 5,857,151.

Regarding claims 3,6,9 and 12, Heinonen discloses a telephone set identifying method and apparatus, and PC card comprising the latter, and a computer-readable storage medium which stores a program for causing a computer which couples to a portable telephone set for identifying a type of portable telephone set to which a data processing apparatus is coupled, comprising the steps of:

identifying the type of the portable telephone set based on an allocation pattern of input and output terminals of a data interface part of the portable telephone set (i.e., reads on alignment to sensitivities corresponding to different current input groups or pattern or allocation) (see col. 2, lines 56-62).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/956,898

Art Unit: 2686

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 3,6,9 and 12 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-5 of copending Application No. 09/957,079; and 09/957,081. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 3,6,9 and 12 of this application conflict with claims 1-5 of Application Nos. 09/957,079; and 09/957,081. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Application/Control Number: 09/956,898

Art Unit: 2686

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joy K Contee whose telephone number is 703-308-0149. The examiner can normally be reached on M (alternating), T & Th, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 703-305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

56) 55 mos

July 28, 2004

CHARLES APPIAH PRIMARY FXAMINER